63-2-3

ONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF SOLIDS:
ENERGY CONDITIONS

By

P. S. Pershan

November 14, 1962

Technical Report

on

NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF SOLIDS:

ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS

by

P. S. Pershan

November 14, 1962

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the interaction between macroscopic, non-dissipative media, and time-varying electromagnetic fields can be described by a time-averaged potential function. From this function it is possible to derive phenomenologically the tensors that describe any of the usual electro- and magneto-optic effects for electric and magnetic fields of any frequency. In addition, these same potential functions describe the various optical nonlinearities like harmonic generation in KDP, and harmonic generation by electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole nonlinearities.

The symmetry relations first derived by Armstrong, Bloembergen, Ducuing, and Pershan for electric dipole nonlinearities follow directly from the methods presented here. In addition, one can derive analogous relations for electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole nonlinearities. These relations also demonstrate the reciprocal nature of the linear electro-optic effect and rectification of light. The Faraday effect and the production of a dc magnetization due to incident circularly polarized light are also reciprocal effects.

Nonlinear Optical Properties of Solids: Energy Considerations

by

P. S. Pershan

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

I. Introduction

The nonlinear responses of macroscopic media to applied electric and magnetic fields at lower than optical frequencies are well known. Crystal rectifiers and harmonic generators, magnetic amplifiers, saturable reactors, etc., are just a few of many examples.

Until recently, the only available electromagnetic [E. and M.] fields at optical frequencies were so feeble that one could linearize the response of any material body to them wtih no appreciable error. With the development of optical lasers, this is no longer true and recent experiments [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] have clearly demonstrated nonlinear responses to optical frequency fields.

Theoretically, these optical phenomena have been considered from both a quantum mechanical, or first principle, approach as well as phenomenologically [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

It is the purpose of this paper to show that energy considerations first suggested by Armstrong, Bloembergen, Ducuing, and Pershan [ABDP] can be generalized to include all nonlinear electromagnetic processes in which the medium is nonabsorptive. In particular, in addition to the ones first obtained by ABDP for electric dipole nonlinearities, it is possible to derive

dispersion symmetry relations, for electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole

optical nonlinearities, solely from macroscopic arguments. The method to

be presented is so general that it will be possible to use one form of tensor

to describe all nonlinear effects of the same type regardless of the frequencies

involved. For example, the dc magnetic Voigt effect, microwave modulation

of light by magnetic techniques, and magnetic optical nonlinearities are all

described by different Fourier components of the same tensor. With the aid

of these dispersion symmetry relations, it will be possible to estimate the

order of magnitude of some of the, as yet, unobserved optical nonlinearities.

The thermodynamic, or energy, arguments will be developed in

Section II. Sections III through V will be concerned with the various specific

types of nonlinearities.

II. Maxwell's Equations — Energy Considerations

The starting point from which one derives the E. and M. wave equations for macroscopic media is Maxwell's equations in vacuum and a distribution of charges and currents [14, 15].

$$\nabla \times \underset{\sim}{e} = - (1/c) \, \partial \underset{\sim}{b}/\partial t \tag{2-1}$$

$$\nabla \times \underset{\sim}{b} = (1/c) \, \partial \underset{\sim}{e}/\partial t + (4\pi/c) \, \underset{\sim}{j} \, .$$

Taking a suitably defined average, one obtains

$$\nabla \times \underset{\sim}{E} = - (1/c) \, \partial \underset{\sim}{B}/\partial t \tag{2-2}$$

$$\nabla \times \underset{\sim}{B} = (1/c) \, \partial \underset{\sim}{E}/\partial t + (4\pi/c) \, \underset{\sim}{J}$$

where [16]

$$\underset{\sim}{J} = \partial \underset{\sim}{P}/\partial t + c \, \nabla \times \underset{\sim}{M} - \partial (\nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{Q})/\partial t + \cdots \tag{2-3}$$

$\underset{\sim}{P}$ is the electric dipole moment per unit volume, $\underset{\sim}{M}$ is the magnetic dipole moment per unit volume, and $\underset{\sim}{Q}$ is the electric quadrupole moment per unit volume. It is well known that the dipole moment per unit volume is uniquely defined only when the net charge density per unit volume is zero. Similarly, $\underset{\sim}{M}$ and $\underset{\sim}{Q}$ are not uniquely defined when $\partial \underset{\sim}{P}/\partial t$ and $\underset{\sim}{P}$ respectively are non-zero. It is possible, however, to define $\underset{\sim}{M}$ and $\underset{\sim}{Q}$ in a meaningful manner.

In the usual manner one obtains the following form of the energy conservation equation.

$$(c/4\pi) \, \nabla \cdot (\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{B}) + (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{B} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{B}/\partial t$$

$$+ (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{E}/\partial t + \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \underset{\sim}{J} = 0 \, . \tag{2-4}$$

For a vacuum, i.e., $J = 0$, the first term is the power flow, and the second and third terms are the time derivative of the energy density per unit volume. The interaction between material and E. and M. field is thus represented by the last term. It is important to realize that the last term is not simply the

contribution of the material to the energy density per unit volume. Only when $\underset{\sim}{M}$ and $\underset{\sim}{Q}$ vanish can we regard $\underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \underset{\sim}{J} = \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{P} / \partial t$ as the time derivative of an energy density. This was the case, for example, in ABDP where only the electric dipole nonlinearity was treated. In general, one must do a partial integration to obtain

$$(c/4\pi) \, \nabla \cdot (\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{H} - 4\pi c^{-1} \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{Q} / \partial t)$$

$$+ (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{H} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{B} / \partial t + (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{D} / \partial t$$

$$+ \nabla \, \underset{\sim}{E} : \partial \underset{\sim}{Q} / \partial t = 0 \tag{2-5}$$

where $\underset{\sim}{H} = \underset{\sim}{B} - 4\pi \underset{\sim}{M}$ and $\underset{\sim}{D} = \underset{\sim}{E} + 4\pi \underset{\sim}{P}$. Note that the above definition of $\underset{\sim}{D}$ implies

$$\nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{D} + 4\pi \nabla \nabla : \underset{\sim}{Q} = 4\pi \rho.$$

For the purposes of this paper, it is not important whether this definition or one in which $\nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{D} = 4\pi \rho$ is given. The terms $-c \nabla \cdot (\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{M})$ and $-\nabla \cdot (\underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{Q} / \partial t)$ represent divergences of an energy flow through the material medium. This is demonstrated in Fig. I for the $\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{M}$ term. The circular current corresponds to a magnetization out of the page. The charge gains energy from the $\underset{\sim}{E}$ field at z_2 and gives it to the $\underset{\sim}{E}$ field at z_1; there is a net transfer of energy from left to right, opposite to the vector cross product $\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{M}$. Similar considerations will demonstrate the power flow for the quadrupole term.

If the material energy density per unit volume is U,

$$\partial U / \partial t = \underset{\sim}{H} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{M} / \partial t + \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{P} / \partial t + \nabla \underset{\sim}{E} : (\partial \underset{\sim}{Q} / \partial t) \tag{2-6}$$

so that Eq. 2-5 becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{S} + (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{H} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{H} / \partial t + (1/4\pi) \, \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \partial \underset{\sim}{E} / \partial t$$

$$+ \partial U / \partial t = 0 \tag{2-7}$$

where $\underset{\sim}{S}$ is Poynting's vector generalized to include quadrupole effects.

M – OUT OF PAGE

$\odot$

$\underset{\sim}{E}$

J

$\underset{\sim}{E}$

Z_1

Z_2

Z

$\underline{E} \times \underline{M}$

FIG. 1. SCHEMATIC DEMONSTRATION OF POWER FLOW PROPORTIONAL
TO $-(\underline{E} \times \underline{M})$. THE COUNTERCLOCKWISE CURRENT $\underline{J}$ IS EQUIVA-
LENT TO A MAGNETIZATION OUT OF THE PAGE.

Equation 2-7 says that the rate at which energy flows out of a volume element

is equal to the rate at which the energy stored in the E. and M. field is de-

creasing (i.e., $- (1/4\pi) [\underline{H} \cdot \partial \underline{H}/\partial t + \underline{E} \cdot \partial \underline{E}/\partial t])$ plus the rate at which the

material is doing work on the E. and M. field (i.e., $-\partial U/\partial t)$. Equation 2-6

can be recognized as the usual expression for the <u>work done on a system</u> by

the external fields [17].

Restricting our attention to nondissipative media, it is clear that in

the steady state the average work done on (or by) the material must be zero.

In the linear problem, for example, $\underline{E}$ and $\partial \underline{P}/\partial t$ are 90 degrees out of phase

and the average of their products vanishes. This is not to say, however, that

the average energy stored in the medium by virtue of its polarization is zero.

When the fields are initially turned on, work is done on (or by) the material

to establish what is eventually termed the "steady state" amplitudes of $\underline{P}$, $\underline{M}$,

$\underline{Q}$, etc. It is most reasonable to assume that the net work done in producing

the steady state amplitudes is independent of the manner in which they were

established. Equivalently, the final "steady state" energy density only depends

on the "steady state" fields and polarizations, not on how they were produced.

In order to make these statements more quantitative, consider

$$\underline{\varepsilon}(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t - T/2}^{t + T/2} \underline{E}(t) \exp(-i\omega t)\, dt \qquad (2-8)$$

where T is a time long enough so that $\omega T \gg 1$, but still short enough that

$\underline{\varepsilon}(\omega, t)$ is independent of T. If we were only concerned with linear problems,

this last point would mean that T is much less than the time in which the

fields go from zero to their "steady state" values. Actually, we are primarily

interested in nonlinear problems so that T must be small compared to the

time in which the nonlinear interactions will significantly change the Fourier distribution of any of the field variables. This means that we are restricted to weak nonlinearities. Fortunately, this is not a serious restriction since the nonlinearities in which we will be interested are weak enough that there is no difficulty in picking a time T. The Fourier distribution of all variables is defined similarly to Eq. 2-8 and will be indicated by script capitals. If there are n interacting waves:

$$E(t) = 2 \, Re \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}(\omega_\nu, t) \, \exp(i\omega_\nu t) \qquad (2-9)$$

and Eq. 2-6 becomes

$$\partial U/\partial t = 2 \, Re \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} [\underline{\mathcal{K}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) \cdot \partial \underline{\mathcal{M}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t$$

$$+ i\omega_\nu \, \underline{\mathcal{K}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) \cdot \underline{\mathcal{M}}(\omega_\nu, t)]$$

$$+ 2 \, Re \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} [\underline{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) \cdot \partial \underline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t$$

$$+ i\omega_\nu \, \underline{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) \cdot \underline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_\nu, t)]$$

$$+ 2 \, Re \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} [\nabla \underline{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) : \partial \underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t$$

$$+ i\omega_\nu \, \nabla \underline{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, t) : \underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\omega_\nu, t)]$$

$$+ \text{high frequency terms.} \qquad (2-10)$$

The physical statements we have been able to make about the energy only pertained to the time average values. Averaging over T causes the high frequency terms in Eq. 2-10 to vanish.

Under steady state conditions $\partial \underline{\mathcal{M}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t = 0$, $\partial \underline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t = 0$, $\partial \underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\omega_\nu, t)/\partial t = 0$ and since one requires that $\langle \partial U/\partial t \rangle_T = 0$

$$0 = 2 \, \text{Re} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} i\omega_\nu \left\{ \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\mathfrak{m}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) + \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) \right.$$

$$\left. + \nabla \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) : \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{Q}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) \right\} . \tag{2-11}$$

Equation 2-11 is one way of saying that the total power flow is a constant even though it can redistribute itself amongst the several frequencies. ABDP demonstrated that for the $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* \cdot \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}}$ term Eq. 2-11 followed from the symmetry relations. The argument cannot be reversed, however.

The physical argument that the "steady state" energy density only depends on the final state and not on the path by which it was attained is equivalent to requiring $\langle \partial U / \partial t \rangle_T$ be an exact differential; i.e., $\langle \partial U / \partial t \rangle_T = d\Phi / dt$, or

$$d\Phi = 2 \, \text{Re} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} [\, \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot d \underset{\sim}{\mathfrak{m}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) + \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot d \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}} (\omega_\nu, \, t)$$

$$+ \nabla \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* : d \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{Q}} (\omega_\nu, \, t)] . \tag{2-12}$$

One can define a second potential

$$F = \Phi - 2 \, \text{Re} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} [\, \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\mathfrak{m}} (\omega_\nu, \, t)$$

$$+ \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}} (\omega_\nu, \, t)$$

$$+ \nabla \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) : \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{Q}} (\omega_\nu, \, t)] \tag{2-13}$$

so that

$$\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) = - \partial F / \partial \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \tag{2-14a}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{\mathfrak{m}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) = - \partial F / \partial \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \tag{2-14b}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{Q}} (\omega_\nu, \, t) = - \partial F / \partial \left\{ \nabla \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}^* (\omega_\nu, \, t) \right\} . \tag{2-14c}$$

From the existence of a "time averaged free energy" and the relations 2-14a,b,c one can describe all of the conventional electro- and magneto-optical effects as well as all of the phenomena by which one obtains parametric amplification with nondissipative media. Many of the dispersive effects in magnetic double resonance experiments also follow. In the subsequent sections we will consider several forms for F and the phenomena which they lead to.

For weak nonlinearities, by the methods of ABDP, macroscopic current density $\underline{J}$ in Eq. 2-2 and Eq. 2-3 can be partitioned into linear and nonlinear parts. At the frequency ω_ν one can write

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathscr{E}}(\omega_\nu) = -i(\omega_\nu/c)\, \partial\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu)/\partial t \tag{2-15}$$

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu) = i(\omega_\nu/c)\, \underline{\epsilon}(\omega_\nu)\cdot\underline{\mathscr{E}}(\omega_\nu)$$
$$+ (4\pi/c)\, \underline{\mathscr{J}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}$$

where it has been assumed the linear material is nonmagnetic: i.e., $\underline{\mu}(\omega_\nu)=0$ so that $\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu) = \underline{B}(\omega_\nu)$, and $\underline{\mathscr{J}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}$ is given by the ω_ν^{th} Fourier component of the nonlinear part of Eq. 2-3. The linear dielectric constant at ω_ν is given by $\underline{\epsilon}(\omega_\nu)$. An equivalent form of Eq. 2-15 would be

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathscr{E}}(\omega_\nu) = -i(\omega_\nu/c)\,[\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu) + 4\pi\,\underline{\mathfrak{M}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}]$$

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu) = i(\omega_\nu/c)\,[\underline{\epsilon}(\omega_\nu)\cdot\underline{\mathscr{E}}(\omega_\nu) + 4\pi\,\underline{P}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}$$

$$- 4\pi\nabla\cdot\underline{Q}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}] \tag{2-16}$$

where

$$\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu) = \underline{\mathcal{B}}(\omega_\nu) - 4\pi\,\underline{\mathfrak{M}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}.$$

The difference between Eqs. 2-15 and 2-16 is the difference in the meaning of $\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu)$. The two different definitions of $\underline{\mathscr{H}}(\omega_\nu)$ will lead to different boundary conditions and slightly different, although equivalent, forms for the energy

density and power flow. Equation 2-15 with correct boundary conditions will

prove most straightforward to use in the approximation that $|\chi^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)|$ is

a constant. This is the approach used by Bloembergen and Pershan [12] in

the treatment of boundary harmonics. The interpretation of the exact nonlinear

coupled amplitude equations of ABDP will. be somewhat more direct for mag-

netic nonlinearities when Eq. 2-16 is used.

III. Electric Dipole Effects

We will consider all effects that can be derived from a free energy of the form $\underline{\underline{X}} : \underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}} \, \underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}}$, $\underline{\underline{\underline{X}}} : \underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}} \, \underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}} \, \underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}}$, etc., as electric dipole effects. The first term is, of course, the free energy for a linear medium, but it will prove illustrative to treat it by the methods we will use for the more complicated phenomena.

Consider the free energy

$$F = \frac{-1}{2} \left\{ X_{ij}(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega) + X_{ij}^*(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_i(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_j^*(\omega) \right\} \tag{3-1}$$

where it is understood that repeated indices are to be summed over. Since there are only nine independent products $\mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega)$ there can be only nine independent tensor components, i.e., $\underline{\underline{X}}(\omega)$ is Hermetian

$$X_{ij}(\omega) = X_{ji}^*(\omega) . \tag{3-2}$$

From Eq. 2-14a

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\omega) = -\partial F / \partial \mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega) = X_{ij}(\omega) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega)$$

and the dielectric tensor ϵ

$$\epsilon_{ij}(\omega) = 1 + 4\pi \, X_{ij}(\omega) \tag{3-3}$$

is also Hermetian. If the crystal is nonmagnetic, it is invariant under time reversal and the tensor $\underline{\underline{X}}$ must also be invariant under time reversal. From Eq. A-7 of the appendix one obtains

$$X_{ij}(\omega) = X_{ij}^*(\omega) \tag{3-4}$$

so that $\underline{\underline{X}}$, and $\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}$ are real symmetric tensors as is well known. Note, however, that for magnetic crystals the off diagonal elements are imaginary and one obtains the well-known Faraday rotation. This will be discussed at greater length in Section V when magnetic nonlinearities are considered. A second point worth noting, however, is that optical activity is not an electric dipole

effect, since this requires imaginary off diagonal elements of $\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}$ for a crystal invariant under time reversal [18]. In Section V it will be shown that optical activity follows from a free energy of the form $\underset{\sim}{X} : \underset{\sim}{\epsilon} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}$.

The linear electro-optic [19], or Pockels, effect and the largest of the optical nonlinearities can be obtained from a free energy of the form

$$F = -\Big\{ X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i{}^*(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_k(\omega_1)$$

$$+ X_{ijk}{}^*(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_j{}^*(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_k{}^*(\omega_1) \Big\} \qquad (3\text{-}5)$$

where $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$.

Since there is only one way to form the product of the ith component of $\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}{}^*(\omega_3)$, the jth component of $\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(\omega_2)$, and the kth component of $\underset{\sim}{\epsilon}(\omega_1)$, there is only one quantity $X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)$ and any permutation of frequencies and indices must be equal, i.e., $X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = X_{jik}(\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_1)$, etc. This is not yet the symmetry obtained by ABDP which are relations between the nonlinear polarizability tensors.

From Eq. 2-14a

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\omega_3) = X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_k(\omega_1) \qquad (3\text{-}6)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_j(\omega_2) = X_{ijk}{}^*(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_k{}^*(\omega_1)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\omega_1) = X_{ijk}{}^*(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_j{}^*(\omega_2) \ .$$

The tensors of ABDP, $\overset{\mathrm{o}}{\underset{\sim}{X}}$, were defined initially from the following relations,

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\omega_3) = {}^{\mathrm{o}}X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_k(\omega_1) \qquad (3\text{-}7)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_j(\omega_2) = {}^{\mathrm{o}}X_{jik}(\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_k{}^*(\omega_1)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\omega_1) = {}^{\mathrm{o}}X_{kij}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_j{}^*(\omega_2) \ .$$

From Eqs. 3-6 and 3-7

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = {}^{\circ}\chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = [{}^{\circ}\chi_{jik}(\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_1)]^*$$

$$= [{}^{\circ}\chi_{kij}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2)]^* \ . \qquad (3\text{-}8)$$

For crystals invariant under time reversal, Eq. A-7 of the appendix shows

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = \chi_{ijk}{}^*(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \ ,$$

and Eq. 3-8 is equivalent to the relations obtained by ABDP. For crystals which lack time-inversion symmetry, Eq. 3-8 is a generalization of those relations.

For the particular case of second harmonic generation it is simplest to start from the free energy rather than to take the limits of Eqs. 3-6 as $\omega_1 \to \omega_2$. In the limiting procedure it is difficult to keep track of factors of 2.

The physical effects resulting from these types of nonlinearities at optical frequencies have been thoroughly treated by ABDP and others [12].

To obtain the low frequency linear Kerr effect one must take the limit as $\omega_1 \to 0$. The free energy, Eq. 3-5, must be extended to include the case when $\omega_3 = \omega_2 - \omega_1$. If ω_1 is small one should expect

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_2 + \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1) = \chi_{ijk}(\omega_2, \omega_2 - \omega_1, \omega_1)$$

so that

$$F = -2 \, \mathrm{Re} \, \chi_{ijk}(\omega_2 + \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1)[\varepsilon_i{}^*(\omega_2 + \omega_1) \, \varepsilon_j(\omega_2) \varepsilon_k(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, \varepsilon_i{}^*(\omega_2) \, \varepsilon_j(\omega_2 - \omega_1) \, \varepsilon_k(\omega_1)] \ . \qquad (3\text{-}9)$$

If the nonlinearity is large enough so that the amplitudes of the side bands, i.e., $\omega_2 \pm \omega_1$, become comparable to the amplitude at ω_2 the free energy must be extended further to include the other side bands; i.e., $\omega_2 \pm 2\omega_1$, $\omega_2 \pm 3\omega_1, \cdots$, etc.

IV. Electric Quadrupole Effects

All effects that are derivable from a free energy of the form $\underline{\chi} \vdots \underline{\varepsilon} \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}$, $\underline{\chi} \vdots \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon} \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}$, etc., will be considered electric quadrupole effects. This does not imply neglecting electric dipole moments of the form $\underline{\chi} : \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}$ and $\underline{\chi} \vdots \underline{\varepsilon} \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}$ but rather that these effects have their origin in a quadrupole-type interaction. Restricting attention, at this time, to tensors $\underline{\chi}$ symmetric in the last two indices; i.e., if

$$\underline{\chi}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \vdots \underline{\varepsilon}^*(\omega_3) \underline{\varepsilon}(\omega_2) \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}(\omega_1)$$

$$\equiv \chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \varepsilon_i^*(\omega_3) \varepsilon_j(\omega_2) \partial_k \varepsilon_\ell(\omega_1)$$

it is assumed

$$\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = \chi_{ij\ell k}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) . \tag{4-1}$$

That part of $\underline{\chi}$ antisymmetric in the last two indices will multiply $\partial_k \varepsilon_\ell(\omega_1) - \partial_\ell \varepsilon_k(\omega_1)$ and this is equal to $-i(4\pi\omega_1/c) \, \epsilon^{k\ell n} \, \mathcal{H}_n(\omega_1)$ where $\epsilon^{k\ell n}$ is the unit antisymmetric tensor of the third rank. If $k\ell n$ is a cyclic permutation of x, y, z, $\epsilon^{k\ell n} = +1$; if it is an antisymmetric permutation, $\epsilon^{k\ell n} = -1$. Thus, the part of $\underline{\chi}$ antisymmetric in the last two indices can be written as $\underline{\chi} : \underline{\varepsilon} \, \underline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\underline{\chi} \vdots \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\mathcal{H}}$, etc. Terms of this type will be discussed in detail in Section V.

A free energy of the form $\underline{\chi} \vdots \underline{\varepsilon} \nabla \underline{\varepsilon}$, symmetric in the last two indices, corresponds to a quadrupole correction to the linear dielectric constant. The third rank tensor $\underline{\chi}$ reverses sign on inversion of the spatial coordinates: i.e., $x \rightarrow -x$, $y \rightarrow -y$, $z \rightarrow -z$, and thus vanishes for all crystals invariant under spatial inversion. For the remaining crystals this correction has been treated in detail by Satten [20] and will not be discussed here. Terms of the form $F = -\underline{\chi} \vdots (\nabla \underline{\varepsilon})(\nabla \underline{\varepsilon})$ are of higher order and neglected here.

The lowest-order nonlinear quadrupole term has a free energy of the form

$$F = -2 \, \text{Re} \, [X_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i{}^*(\omega_3) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, X_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_2) \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1) \, \epsilon_i{}^*(\omega_3) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2)$$

$$+ \, X_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2, \, \omega_1, \, \omega_3) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_i{}^*(\omega_3)] \tag{4-2}$$

for $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$.

In addition to the symmetry of Eq. 4-1, one requires the dispersion, or permutation symmetry relations between the first two indices of the type

$$X_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) = X_{jik\ell}(\omega_2, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_1) \tag{4-3}$$

$$X_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_2) = X_{i\ell kj}(\omega_3, \, \omega_1, \, \omega_2)$$

etc.

The reasoning behind Eq. 4-3 is the same as was used to justify the symmetry of the tensor in Eq. 3-5. The importance of a free energy of this type derives from the fact that for crystals invariant under space inversion it represents the largest nonmagnetic mechanism for producing second harmonic.

From Eqs. 2-14a, c and 4-2 one obtains

$$P_i(\omega_3) = X_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, X_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_2) \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2)$$

$$Q_{ki}(\omega_3) = X_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2, \, \omega_1, \, \omega_3) \, \epsilon_j(\omega_2) \, \epsilon_\ell(\omega_1) \, , \tag{4-4a}$$

$$P_j(\omega_2) = X_{ijk\ell}{}^*(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_\ell{}^*(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, X_{j\ell ki}{}^*(\omega_2, \, \omega_1, \, \omega_3) \, \epsilon_\ell{}^*(\omega_1) \, \partial_k \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3)$$

$$Q_{kj}(\omega_2) = X_{\ell ikj}{}^*(\omega_1, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_2) \, \epsilon_\ell{}^*(\omega_1) \, \epsilon_i(\omega_3) \, , \tag{4-4b}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_\ell(\omega_1) = \chi_{\ell ikj}^*(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2)\ \varepsilon_i(\omega_3)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_j^*(\omega_2)$$

$$+ \chi_{j\ell ki}^*(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3)\ \varepsilon_j^*(\omega_2)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_i(\omega_3)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k\ell}(\omega_1) = \chi_{ijk\ell}^*(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1)\ \varepsilon_i(\omega_3)\ \varepsilon_j^*(\omega_2)\ , \qquad (4\text{-}4c)$$

It is a rather trivial loss of generality to restrict attention here to crystals invariant under time reversal. From Eq. A-7 of the appendix, all the $\underline{\chi}$'s are real and, henceforth, the stars can be neglected. The nonlinear source currents $\underline{\mathcal{J}}^{NLS}$, to be inserted into Eqs. 2-15, are of the form

$$\mathcal{J}_i(\omega_\nu)^{NLS} = i\,\omega_\nu\ [\mathcal{P}_i(\omega_\nu)^{NLS} - \partial_k\ \mathbf{Q}_{ki}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}] \qquad (4\text{-}5)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_i(\omega_3)^{NLS} = i\,\omega_3\ [\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1)$$

$$- \chi_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3)]\ \varepsilon_j(\omega_2)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_\ell(\omega_1)$$

$$+ i\,\omega_3\ [\chi_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2) - \chi_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3)]$$

$$\varepsilon_\ell(\omega_1)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_j(\omega_2) \qquad (4\text{-}6a)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_j(\omega_2)^{NLS} = i\,\omega_2\ [\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1) - \chi_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2)]$$

$$\varepsilon_i(\omega_3)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_\ell^*(\omega_1)$$

$$+ i\,\omega_2\ [\chi_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3) - \chi_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2)]$$

$$\varepsilon_\ell^*(\omega_1)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_i(\omega_3) \qquad (4\text{-}6b)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\ell(\omega_1)^{NLS} = i\,\omega_1\ [\chi_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2) - \chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1)]$$

$$\varepsilon_i(\omega_3)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_j^*(\omega_2)$$

$$+ i\,\omega_1\ [\chi_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3) - \chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1)]$$

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\omega_2)\ \partial_k\ \varepsilon_i(\omega_3)\ . \qquad (4\text{-}6c)$$

Defining tensors $\underline{\chi}^{EFF}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}(\omega_3)^{NLS} = i\omega_3 \underset{\sim}{\chi}^{EFF}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) : \underset{\sim}{E}(\omega_2) \underset{\sim}{E}(\omega_1)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\omega_2)^{NLS} = i\omega_2 \underset{\sim}{\chi}^{EFF}(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3) : \underset{\sim}{E}^*(\omega_1) \underset{\sim}{E}(\omega_3)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\omega_1)^{NLS} = i\omega_1 \underset{\sim}{\chi}^{EFF}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2) : \underset{\sim}{E}(\omega_3) \underset{\sim}{E}^*(\omega_2) \qquad (4\text{-}7)$$

one can see that the permutation symmetry relations of ABDP (i. e., Eqs. 3-8) do not hold exactly for $\underset{\sim}{\chi}^{EFF}$. For example, if $\underset{\sim}{k}_3 = \underset{\sim}{k}_1 + \underset{\sim}{k}_2 + \underset{\sim}{\Delta k}$, from Eq. 4-3

$$[\chi^{EFF}_{ij\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)]^* - \chi^{EFF}_{\ell ji}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$$

$$= i\,[\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) - \chi_{\ell jki}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)]\,(\underset{\sim}{\Delta k})_k\ . \qquad (4\text{-}8)$$

Only for the case of exact phase matching, i. e., $\underset{\sim}{\Delta k} = 0$, can one treat the current elements of Eqs. 4-6 as effective dipole moments per unit volume. The right-hand side of Eq. 4-8 is related to the transport of energy by the quadrupole interactions as discussed in relation to Eq. 2-5. Since $\underset{\sim}{\chi}^{EFF}$ is imaginary, and $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$

$$< \underset{\sim}{E}(t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{J}(t)^{NLS} > = 2\mathrm{Re}\left[i\omega_2\left\{\chi^{EFF}_{j\ell i}(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3) - [\chi^{EFF}_{ij\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)]^*\right\}\right.$$

$$\left. + i\omega_1\left\{\chi^{EFF}_{\ell ij}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2) - [\chi^{EFF}_{ij\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)]^*\right\}\right]$$

$$E_\ell^*(\omega_1)\, E_j^*(\omega_2)\, E_i(\omega_3)\ .$$

Applying Eqs. 4-3 and 4-8

$$< \underset{\sim}{E}(t) \cdot \underset{\sim}{J}(t)^{NLS} > = 2\mathrm{Re}\left\{\left[i\omega_1\,\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) + i\omega_2\,\chi_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2)\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. - i\omega_3\,\chi_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3)\right] \times \left[(-i\underset{\sim}{\Delta k})_k\, E_\ell^*(\omega_1) E_j^*(\omega_2) E_i(\omega_3)\right]\right\}\ , \qquad (4\text{-}9)$$

and this can be recognized as the time average of $\nabla \cdot [\underset{\sim}{E}(t) \cdot \partial\underset{\sim}{Q}/\partial t]$.

The coupled amplitude equations developed by ABDP (i.e., Eqs. 4-9 of ABDP) can be generalized to include quadrupole nonlinearities by replacing $i\omega_\nu \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}$ with $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{G}}(\omega_\nu)^{NLS}$. The exact solutions will follow in the same manner as the dipole nonlinearity except that the integration constant corresponding to the time average Poynting's vector will not be simply $<\underset{\sim}{E} \times \underset{\sim}{H}>_T$, but it will have an additional term corresponding to the transport of energy by the quadrupole interaction shown in Eq. 4-9.

The currents given by Eqs. 4-6 can be seen to be invariant if one adds to the free energy (Eq. 4-2) a term that would correspond to a surface energy density, $F' = F + \nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{G}$

$$\nabla \cdot \underset{\sim}{G} = \partial_k [\,\mathcal{Y}_{kij\ell}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1)\ \mathcal{E}_i{}^*(\omega_3)\ \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2)\ \mathcal{E}_\ell(\omega_1)\,]\ . \qquad (4\text{-}10)$$

If $X_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) = X_{j\ell ki}(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3) = X_{\ell ikj}(\omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_2)$, Eq. 4-2 reduces to a surface energy density, and Eqs. 4-6 all yield zero currents. It may often prove convenient to define new tensors $\underset{\sim}{X'}$ by a suitable definition of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that F' is given by Eq. 4-2 with $\underset{\sim}{X'}$ replacing $\underset{\sim}{X}$ and

$$X_{ijk\ell}{}'(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1) + X_{j\ell ki}{}'(\omega_2,\ \omega_1,\ \omega_3)$$
$$+ X_{\ell ikj}{}'(\omega_1,\ \omega_3,\ \omega_2) = 0\ . \qquad (4\text{-}11)$$

Alternatively, one could set one of the tensors, for example, $\underset{\sim}{X'}(\omega_3,\ \omega_2,\ \omega_1) = 0$, with no loss of generality.

For second harmonic generation there are only two tensors, $\underset{\sim}{X}(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{X}(2\omega,\ \omega,\ \omega)$. With no loss of generality one could set $X(2\omega,\ \omega,\ \omega) = 0$ and obtain

$$F = -\ 2\,\mathrm{Re}\ \underset{\sim}{X}(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega)\ \vdots\ \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)\ \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)\ \nabla \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}{}^*(2\omega) \qquad (4\text{-}12)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{J}^{NLS}(2\omega) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla\cdot\mathcal{Q}(2\omega) = -2i\omega\nabla\cdot[\chi(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega):\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)] \quad . \qquad (4\text{-}13)$$

For an isotropic material, the form of $\underset{\sim}{\chi}(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega)$ is given by Table I. It is straightforward to demonstrate that for a plane wave at frequency ω moving with wave vector $\underset{\sim}{k}(\omega)$ such that $\underset{\sim}{k}(\omega)\cdot\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)=0$ the current given by Eq. 4-13 is parallel to $\underset{\sim}{k}(\omega)$. Neglecting surface harmonics [12] this current cannot generate a second harmonic.

If the field $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$ is not a simple plane wave, but two plane waves as shown in Fig. II, it is possible to match phase velocities in the z-direction, if the isotropic material exhibits anomalous dispersion. The sum of $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)$ and $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{E}}'(\omega)$ has components

$$\mathcal{E}_x(\omega) = \left\{[\mathcal{E}_\parallel + \mathcal{E}_\parallel']\cos\phi\cos k_x x - i[\mathcal{E}_\parallel - \mathcal{E}_\parallel']\cos\phi\sin k_x x\right\} \times \exp(-ik_z z)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_y(\omega) = \left\{[\mathcal{E}_\perp + \mathcal{E}_\perp']\cos k_x x - i[\mathcal{E}_\perp - \mathcal{E}_\perp']\sin k_x x\right\}\exp(-ik_z z)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_z(\omega) = \left\{[\mathcal{E}_\parallel' - \mathcal{E}_\parallel]\sin\phi\cos k_x x + i[\mathcal{E}_\parallel' + \mathcal{E}_\parallel]\right.$$

$$\left. \sin\phi\sin k_x x\right\}\exp(-ik_z z) \quad . \qquad (4\text{-}14)$$

The quadrupole moments and the currents can be obtained by direct substitution of Eqs. 4-14 into Eq. 4-13 where $\underset{\sim}{\chi}$ is given in Table I. The only terms that will couple to a plane wave at 2ω propagating in the z-direction are the parts of $\mathcal{Q}_{xz}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{yz}$ that do not have an x-spatial dependence. The term $\mathcal{Q}_{xz}(2\omega)$ vanishes identically, however,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{yz}(2\omega) = \chi_{6,6}[\mathcal{E}_\parallel'\mathcal{E}_\perp - \mathcal{E}_\parallel\mathcal{E}_\perp']\sin\phi\exp(-i2k_z z)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_y^{NLS}(2\omega) = -4\omega k_z\chi_{6,6}[\mathcal{E}_\parallel'\mathcal{E}_\perp - \mathcal{E}_\parallel\mathcal{E}_\perp']\sin\phi\exp(-i2k_z z) \quad . \qquad (4\text{-}15)$$

FIG. 2. A POSSIBLE GEOMETRY FOR GENERATING SECOND HARMONIC
RADIATION IN AN ISOTROPIC MATERIAL WITH ANOMALOUS
DISPERSION.

Observe that if there is symmetry between $\underline{\epsilon}$ and $\underline{\epsilon}'$ such that $\epsilon_{\parallel}' = \epsilon_{\parallel}$ and

$\epsilon_{\perp} = \epsilon_{\perp}'$, there is no second harmonic generation. This effect can be large

only for large anomalous dispersion since $\sin \phi = [1 - (n(2\omega)/n(\omega))^2]^{1/2}$.

Second harmonic generation by means of a quadrupole nonlinearity will

thus be a very weak effect in all isotropic media.

Anisotropic media, on the other hand, can have observable second har-

monic generation by a quadrupole nonlinearity. Consider the tensor $\underline{X}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$

for calcite given in Table II. There are several differences between this and

the fourth rank elastic tensors. For example, $X_{xxzz}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega) \neq X_{zzxx}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$,

$X_{xxyz}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega) \neq X_{yzxx}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$ because there are no operations that will

transform z into x or y and leave the crystal invariant. The identity

$X_{xxyy}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega) = X_{yyxx}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$ follows from the operations of a trigonal

axis in calcite.

One can match the phase velocity of an ordinary ray at the fundamental

frequency to an extraordinary ray at the second harmonic [3, 4] as shown

in Fig. III. As discussed in ABDP (Eq. 4-8), harmonic generation is due to the

component of $\underline{f}^{NLS}(2\omega)$ parallel to $\hat{e}_2$; i.e.,

$$|\underline{f}^{NLS}(2\omega)|_{useful} = -(4\omega^2 \, \epsilon^{1/2}(\omega)/c) \, E^2(\omega)$$

$$[(\hat{e}_2)_x \, X_{y'y'z'x'}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$$

$$+ (\hat{e}_2)_z \, X_{y'y'z'z'}(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)] . \qquad (4\text{-}16)$$

Although the linear optical properties of a uniaxial crystal are constant for all

rays on a cone forming a given angle θ with the crystal axis, this is not true for

the nonlinear properties. The most general form of the tensor components in

Eq. 4-16 can be obtained from Table II by a rotation through an angle ϕ about

the z axis (i.e., crystal axis) so that the new y' axis is parallel to $\underline{\epsilon}(\omega)$ and

FIG. 3. GEOMETRY FOR MATCHING AN ORDINARY WAVE AT ω TO AN EXTRAORDINARY WAVE AT 2ω IN A UNIAXIAL CRYSTAL. THE SECOND HARMONIC $\mathcal{E}$-FIELD IS DIRECTED ALONG THE UNIT VECTOR $\hat{e}_2$.

then a rotation by θ about $\underline{e}(\omega)$ so that z' is the direction of $\underline{k}(\omega)$.

$$\hat{e}_2 \cdot \underline{J}^{NLS}(2\omega) = -2\omega^2 \, \epsilon^{1/2}(\omega) \, c^{-1} \, \underline{E}^2(\omega)$$

$$\times \left\{ (\chi_{1,2} + \chi_{1,3}) \sin \alpha + (\chi_{1,3} - \chi_{1,2}) \sin (2\theta + \alpha) \right.$$

$$\left. + 2 \, \chi_{1,4} \sin 3\phi \cos (2\theta - \alpha) \right\} \qquad . \qquad (4\text{-}17)$$

The fact that Eq. 4-17 is non-zero is due to the crystal anisotropy since for isotropic crystals the primary wave is a transverse wave and $\alpha = 0$. From Table I isotropic crystals have $\chi_{1,2} = \chi_{1,3}$ and $\chi_{1,4} = 0$.

The experiments of Terhune et al. [5] detected quadrupole second har-monic in calcite for one particular geometry. They calculate for their orien-tation of crystal, the bracketed term in Eq. 4-17 was of the order of 10^{-18} esu units. This sets a lower limit on the three constants $\chi_{1,2}, \chi_{1,3}$, and $\chi_{1,4}$ since ϕ might have been set near 0, $\pi/3$, $2\pi/3$, etc. ; the real χ's may be larger by approximately $(1/\sin \alpha) \approx 20$. It would be interesting to see if an angular dependence corresponding to the last term is observable. This would give a direct measure of $\chi_{1,4}$ independent of $\chi_{1,2}$ and $\chi_{1,3}$.

Although in isotropic material there is no second harmonic generation per unit volume, due to the quadrupole nonlinearity there can be generation at the surface [12]. The quadrupole term in the current density, i.e. , Eq. 4-5 changes the boundary condition that the tangential component of $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$ is con-tinuous across the surface of a nonlinear dielectric. One can show from Eq. 2-15 that if $\hat{n}_{1,2}$ is a unit vector, normal to the surface between medium 1 and medium 2, directed from 1 into 2; and if $\hat{\sigma}$ is a unit vector parallel to the surface, such that $\hat{n}_{1,2} \cdot \hat{\sigma} = 0$, the boundary condition on $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\omega)$ can be written

$$\left(\underline{\mathcal{H}}_2(\omega) - \underline{\mathcal{H}}_1(\omega) \right) \cdot \hat{\sigma} = -(4\pi i \omega / c) \, [\underline{Q}_2(\omega) - \underline{Q}_1(\omega)] : \hat{n}_{1,2} \, (\hat{n}_{1,2} \times \hat{\sigma}).$$

$$(4\text{-}18)$$

If the fundamental is polarized perpendicular to the plane formed by the normal to the interface and the direction of wave propagation, Eq. 4-18 reduces to the usual condition that the tangential component of $\mathcal{H}$ is continuous. In this case, the quadrupole surface harmonic is obtained by substituting for $\mathcal{P}^{NLS}(\omega)$ of Eq. 4-12 of reference 12, the quantity $(i\omega)^{-1} \mathcal{J}^{NLS}(\omega)$ as given by Eq. 4-13 of this paper. The tensor χ is given in Table I.

For other polarizations of the fundamental, the right-hand side of Eq. 4-18 does not reduce to zero and to calculate the boundary harmonics it must be used instead of Eq. 4-11 of reference 12.

V. Magnetic Dipole Effects

All effects which can be derived from a free energy proportional to one or more powers of the magnetic field will be considered magnetic dipole effects. Higher magnetic multipoles are explicitly neglected since free energies proportional to gradients of the magnetic field are not treated. The simplest magnetic free energy describes the linear phenomena of optical activity [18],

$$F = - \left\{ X_{ij}(\omega) \, \epsilon_i^*(\omega) \, \mathcal{H}_j(\omega) + X_{ij}^*(\omega) \, \epsilon_i(\omega) \, \mathcal{H}_j^*(\omega) \right\} . \tag{5-1}$$

Assuming a crystal invariant under time reversal, Eq. A-7 of the appendix requires $X_{ij}(\omega) = - X_{ij}^*(\omega)$; i.e., $\underset{\sim}{X}$ is pure imaginary. The forms of $\underset{\sim}{X}$ for quartz and $NaClO_3$, both optically active, are given in Table III. The dielectric currents are obtained from $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{J}}(\omega) = \partial \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)/\partial t + c \, \nabla \times \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{m}}(\omega)$ and one can write the part of the dielectric constant due to Eq. 5-1 in the form

$$\Delta \, \epsilon_{i\ell} = i \, 4\pi \, (c \, k_n / \omega) \, (|X_{ii}| + |X_{\ell\ell}|) \, \epsilon^{\ell i n} \tag{5-2}$$

where $\underset{\sim}{k}$ is the propagation vector for the wave and $\epsilon^{\ell i n}$ is the antisymmetric third rank tensor introduced in Section IV. The second rank pseudotensor $\underset{\sim}{X}$ will vanish if the crystal has inversion symmetry since the second index transforms like $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}$ and keeps its sign under inversion. The somewhat weaker condition of the presence of a mirror plane, however, can make individual terms in $\underset{\sim}{X}$ vanish. For example, if the crystal is invariant under reflection in the x-y plane, the only nonvanishing elements of $\underset{\sim}{X}$ are X_{xz}, X_{yz}, X_{zx} and X_{zy}. This has the physical significance that if light is propagating parallel to a crystal mirror plane, the crystal must have the same effect on right and left circular polarization and there can be no optical activity. Note that the

sign of the effective dielectric constant in Eq. 5-2 depends on the sign of k_n.

This has the important consequence that light going forward and backward

through an optically active material will emerge with no net rotation of the

plane of polarization. This should be distinguished from the Faraday effect

which doubles the rotation on passing the same crystal backward and forward.

The form of free energy leading to the Faraday effect represents the

simplest type of magnetic nonlinearity,

$$F = -2 \, \mathrm{Re} \, [\, \chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \, \mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega_3) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2) \, \mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, \chi_{jki}(\omega_2, \, \omega_1, \, \omega_3) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2) \, \mathcal{E}_k(\omega_1) \, \mathcal{H}_i^*(\omega_3)$$

$$+ \, \chi_{kij}(\omega_1, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_2) \, \mathcal{E}_k(\omega_1) \, \mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega_3) \, \mathcal{H}_j(\omega_2)] \qquad (5\text{-}3)$$

where $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$. Considering, for the moment, only crystals invariant

under time reversal, Eq. A-7 of the appendix requires these $\underline{\chi}$'s to be pure

imaginary. From arguments used in both Sections III and IV, there is a

permutation symmetry between the first two indices

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) = \chi_{jik}(\omega_2, \, \omega_3, \, \omega_1) \qquad (5\text{-}4)$$

etc.

If $\omega_1 << \omega_2, \, \omega_3$, one must also include the free energy for $\omega_3' = \omega_2 - \omega_1$ in

the same manner as was discussed in Section III for the linear electro-optic

effect. Let ω_1 correspond to a low frequency (i.e., $\omega_1 / 2\pi < 10^9$ cps) while

ω_2 and ω_3 are optical frequencies. From the normal dispersion of magnetic

phenomena [21], it follows that $\chi(\omega_2 \pm \omega_1, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1)$ is much larger than the

other four tensors and one can simplify the free energy by taking

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_2 + \omega_1, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \approx \chi_{ijk}(\omega_2, \, \omega_2 - \omega_1, \, \omega_1) \equiv \chi_{ijk}$$

$$F = -2 \, \mathrm{Re} \, \chi_{ijk} \, [\mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega_2 + \omega_1) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2) \, \mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1)$$

$$+ \, \mathcal{E}_i^*(\omega_2) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2 - \omega_1) \mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1)] \, . \qquad (5\text{-}5)$$

One obtains

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\omega_2 + \omega_1) = \chi_{ijk}\, \varepsilon_j(\omega_2)\mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1) \tag{5-6a}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\omega_2 - \omega_1) = \chi_{jik}{}^*\, \varepsilon_j(\omega_2)\, \mathcal{H}_k{}^*(\omega_1) \tag{5-6b}$$

$$m_k(\omega_1) = \chi_{ijk}{}^*\, [\varepsilon_i(\omega_2 + \omega_1)\, \varepsilon_j{}^*(\omega_2) + \varepsilon_i(\omega_2)\, \varepsilon_j{}^*(\omega_2 - \omega_1)]. \tag{5-6c}$$

In the limit that $\omega_1 \rightarrow 0$, Eqs. 5-6a and 5-6b will describe the dc. Faraday effect. One should not interpret the symmetry of Eq. 5-4 to mean

$\chi_{ijk}(\omega, \omega, 0) = \chi_{jik}(\omega, \omega, 0)$ since both terms in Eq. 5-4 multiply $\varepsilon_i{}^*(\omega)\, \varepsilon_j(\omega)$ in the limit that $\omega_1 \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. 5-3.

For an isotropic material, invariant under time reversal, one can show the only non-zero elements of $\underline{\chi}$ are

$$\chi_{xyz} = \chi_{yzx} = \chi_{zxy} = -\chi_{xzy} = -\chi_{zyx} = -\chi_{yxz} = i\, |\chi_{1,2,3}| \tag{5-7}$$

and one can write Eqs. 5-6a, b as

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_2) = i\, |\chi_{1,2,3}|\underline{\varepsilon}(\omega_2) \times [\underline{\mathcal{H}}(\omega_1) + \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\omega_1)]. \tag{5-8}$$

The change in the effective dielectric constant thus has the usual form

$$\Delta\, \varepsilon_{ij} = i\, 4\pi\, |\chi_{1,2,3}|\epsilon^{ijk}\, h_k(t) \tag{5-9}$$

where $h_k(t)$ is the real value of the kth component of the magnetic field at ω_1. The form of Eq. 5-9 is identical to the form of Eq. 5-2 except that the latter changes sign with reversal of the direction of propagation.

If one initially has a circularly polarized optical $\underline{\varepsilon}$ field propagating in the z-direction, Eq. 5-6c shows there will be a z-component of magnetization at zero frequency [22].

$$M_z(0) = \pm 2\, |\chi_{1,2,3}||\varepsilon|^2. \tag{5-10}$$

In concentrated neodymium ethylsulphate $|\chi_{1,2,3}|$ is known from Faraday

measurements to be approximately 10^{-9} cgs units at 4.2°K [23]. A one-megawatt laser pulse will thus produce a total flux $\Phi = \int 4\pi M \cdot dA = 10^{-4}$ cgs units. If the optical pulse is 10^{-6} seconds long and is detected by a 1000 turn coil, the pick-up voltage should be 1 millivolt. This is independent of the cross-section area of the laser pulse so long as the volume over which M is produced is large enough that the flux does not close on itself completely within the pick-up coil.

The nonlinearity described by Eq. 5-3 is of no importance, for media invariant under time reversal, when ω_1 becomes an optical frequency. Materials which lack time-reversal symmetry, either because they are subjected to an external dc. magnetic field or because they are ferromagnetic, can have detectable nonlinear effects even when ω_1 is an optical frequency. Consider a cubic crystal, class O_h, that lacks time-inversion symmetry because it has a dc. magnetization in the (001) direction. The point group operations under which this crystal is invariant are shown in Fig. IV. The form of $\underset{\sim}{\chi}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)$ is given in Table IV. If the crystal were invariant under time-reversal symmetry, the real parts of the tensor given by Table IV would vanish and $|\chi_{4,1}|$ would equal $|\chi_{6,3}|$ as for the dc. Faraday effect described by Eq. 5-7. The real terms can be seen to come from the dc. magnetization in the (001) direction by considering a cubic crystal, invariant under time reversal, but subjected to a dc. magnetic field in the (001) direction. The free energy will have terms of the form

$$F = -2 \operatorname{Re} \chi_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1) \, \mathcal{E}_i{}^*(\omega_3) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2) \mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1)$$

$$-2 \operatorname{Re} \chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1, 0) \, \mathcal{E}_i{}^*(\omega_3) \, \mathcal{E}_j(\omega_2) \mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1) \mathcal{H}_\ell(0).$$

$$(5\text{-}11)$$

(a)

(b)

FIG. 4. THE POINT GROUP SYMMETRIES OF A CUBIC CRYSTAL, O_h , WHICH HAS A DC MAGNETIZATION ALONG THE (001) AXIS. FIGURE 4a SHOWS THE PURE SPATIAL OPERATIONS UNDER WHICH THE CRYSTAL IS INVARIENT. FIGURE 4b SHOWS THOSE SPATIAL OPERATIONS WHICH WHEN COUPLED WITH TIME REVERSAL WILL LEAVE THE CRYSTAL INVARIENT.

Since the crystal is invariant under time reversal, Eq. A-7 of the appendix requires $X_{ijk}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)$ to be pure imaginary and of the form of Eq. 5-7. The components $X_{ijk\ell}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1, 0)$ are real and have the same form as the tensor given by Table I except that due to dispersion $X_{yzzy} = X_{zyyz} = X_{6,6} \neq X_{zyzy} = X_{yzyz} = X_{6,6}'$. Similar relations hold for the other terms. The free energy in Eq. 5-11 can be put in the form of Eq. 5-3 by contracting on the index ℓ. The third rank tensor will thus have the form in Table V. If $|X_{4,1}| = |X_{6,3}| = -|X_{4,1}'| = X_{1,2,3}^{(3)}$ Tables IV and V have identical forms. The Voigt effect [24], microwave modulation by the Faraday effect [25, 26], and the non-linear optical effects correspond to the tensors in Tables IV and V for $\omega_1 = 0$, ω_1 near a microwave resonance frequency, and ω_1 an optical frequency, respectively.

Consider the case where $\mathcal{H}(0)$ is a dc field along the z-axis, $\mathcal{H}_k(\omega_1)$ is a circularly polarized microwave field in the x-y plane, ω_1 is near a magnetic resonance, and light is propagating in the x-direction. Analogous to Eq. 5-9 one obtains

$$\Delta \epsilon_{yz} = 4\pi i \, |X_{1,2,3}^{(3)}| h_x(t) + 4\pi \, |X_{6,6}^{(4)}| \, \mathcal{H}_z(0) \, h_y(t) . \qquad (5-12)$$

Bloembergen, Pershan, and Wilcox [25] have shown that for light propagating parallel to the magnetization of a sample there is a Faraday rotation proportional to the instantaneous magnetization, even if that magnetization is rotating at a microwave frequency. Mathematically, a magnetization in the x-direction will produce a change in $\Delta \epsilon_{yz} = i K M_x$ where K is a function of the material and the wavelength of the light. Neglecting damping, the Bloch equations can be solved for

$$m_x = \gamma m_0 (\omega_0^2 - \omega_1^2)^{-1} (\omega_0 h_x - i \omega_1 h_y)$$

and

$$\Delta \epsilon_{yx} = K \gamma \, \mathfrak{M}_o (\omega_o^2 - \omega_1^2)^{-1} \, [\omega_o \, i \, h_x + \omega_1 \, h_y] \, , \qquad (5\text{-}13)$$

Comparing Eqs. 5-12 and 5-13

$$4\pi \, |\chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3}| = K \gamma^2 \, \mathfrak{M}_o \, \mathfrak{K}_z(0) \, (\omega_o^2 - \omega_1^2)^{-1} \qquad (5\text{-}14a)$$

$$4\pi \, |\chi^{(4)}_{6,6}| = K \gamma \, \chi_{dc.} \, \omega_1 (\omega_o^2 - \omega_1^2)^{-1} \qquad (5\text{-}14b)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_o$ is the steady state dc. magnetization, ω_o is the microwave reso-

nance frequency $\gamma \mathfrak{K}_z(0)$, and χ_{dc} is the static susceptibility $\mathfrak{M}_o / \mathfrak{K}_z(0)$.

In the limit $\omega_1 \to 0$, Eq. 5-14a approaches $4\pi \, |\chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3}| = K \chi_{dc.} \approx$

1.3×10^{-8} cgs units in concentrated neodymium ethylsulphate at $4.2^{o}K$, this

being known from Faraday effect measurements. For $\omega_1 \approx \omega_o$, $|\chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3}| \approx$

$|\chi^{(4)}_{6,6}|$ and the discussions of Bloembergen et al. on modulation of light follow.

The nonlinear optical problem is obtained for $\omega_1 \gg \omega_o$, $4\pi \, |\chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3}| \propto \omega^{-2} \approx 0$,

$4\pi \, |\chi^{(4)}_{6,6}| \approx \gamma K \, \chi_{dc.} \, \omega_1^{-1}$. At the ruby laser line $\gamma / \omega_1 \approx 7 \times 10^{-6}$ cgs units

and $4\pi \, |\chi^{(4)}_{6,6}| \approx 9 \times 10^{-14}$ cgs units. In an external dc. field of 10^4 gauss,

the nonlinear polarization at ω_3 will be given by

$$\mathfrak{P}_y(\omega_3) = \chi^{EFF}_{yzy}(\omega_3, \, \omega_2, \, \omega_1) \, \mathcal{E}_z(\omega_2) \mathfrak{K}_y(\omega_1)$$

where $\chi^{EFF} \sim 0.7 \times 10^{-10}$ cgs units in concentrated neodymium ethylsulphate

at $4.2^{o}K$. This should be compared with $\chi' \sim 3 \times 10^{-11}$ in KDP [5] for the elec-

tric dipole nonlinearity at room temperature. Neodymium ethylsulphate is not

an isotropic crystal, but the essential features of the nonlinear effect and the

estimate of its size are not affected by this.

The coupled amplitude equations of ABDP can be obtained by replacing

$i \omega_\nu \, \underline{\mathfrak{P}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)$ with $\underline{\mathfrak{J}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu) = i \omega_\nu \, \underline{\mathfrak{P}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu) + c \, \nabla \times \underline{\mathfrak{M}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)$; $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}^{NLS}$ and

$\underline{\mathfrak{M}}^{NLS}$ following directly from Eqs. 2-14 and the assumed form of the free

energy. In this case, the boundary conditions [12] at the surface of the non-linear dielectric are changed to the tangential component of $\mathcal{H} - 4\pi \mathfrak{m}^{NLS}$ is continuous rather than just the tangential component of $\mathcal{H}$.

An alternative, but completely equivalent, procedure would be to re-define the quantities in Eq. 2-15 so that $\underline{\mathcal{H}}'(\omega_\nu) = \underline{\mathcal{H}}(\omega_\nu) - 4\pi \underline{\mathfrak{m}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)$. Neglecting the quadrupole terms, Eq. 2-15 becomes

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathcal{E}}(\omega_\nu) = -i(\omega_\nu/c)\, \partial \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(\omega_\nu) - 4\pi\,(i\omega_\nu/c)\, \underline{\mathfrak{m}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)$$

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(\omega_\nu) = i\,(\omega_\nu/c)\, \underline{\epsilon}(\omega_\nu) \cdot \underline{\mathcal{E}}(\omega_\nu) + 4\pi\,(i\,\omega_\nu/c)\, \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{NLS}(\omega_\nu)\,.$$

$$(5\text{-}15)$$

In this form the tangential component of $\underline{\mathcal{H}}'(\omega_\nu)$ is continuous.

For the exact solutions to the nonlinear coupled amplitude equations, one of the integration constants corresponds to the power flow being constant. The proper form of this term will automatically follow from the equations; however, one should note that this constant will correspond to $2\,\mathrm{Re}\sum_\nu \underline{\mathcal{E}}^*(\omega_\nu)\times \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(\omega_\nu)$ using $\underline{\mathcal{H}}'$ rather than $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$. This has been pointed out in Section II and is analogous to the considerations in Section IV for the power flow by means of a quadrupole nonlinearity.

VI. Conclusion

The main purpose of this paper has been to show that for nondissipative media, there exists a function F, the time average free energy, from which one can derive all the constitutive equations involved in the electromagnetic theory of macroscopic media. This includes linear as well as nonlinear relations. Linear and quadratic electro-optic effects, Faraday and magnetic Kerr effects, optical activity, as well as the new nonlinear optical phenomena of harmonic generation, mixing, electric rectification and magnetic rectification have all been derived phenomenologically from several of the simplest possible forms for F. In addition, several of the phenomena in different frequency ranges have been shown to be related. It has thus been possible to predict the order of magnitude of several, as yet, unobserved effects.

In principle, there is no reason why these methods cannot also be used to define free energies that are functions of acoustic fields as well as the products of acoustic fields and electromagnetic fields. In this way one can also obtain the linear and nonlinear electro-elastic and magneto-elastic effects. One should also be able to obtain information on dissipative effects by introducing several general types of phenomenological loss terms.

Appendix: Time-Reversal Transformation

Consider a vector or pseudovector quantity A(t) and its Fourier transform A(ω)

$$\underline{A}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) \exp(i\omega t) \, d\omega \qquad (A-1)$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{A}(t) \exp(-i\omega t) \, dt/2\pi \ . $$

If $\underline{A}(t)$ is real, $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) = \underline{\mathcal{A}}^*(-\omega)$. Under time reversal, t goes into -t and ω goes into $-\omega$ so that if T_R is the time-reversal operator

$$T_R \, \underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [T_R \, \underline{A}(t)] \exp(-i\omega t) \, dt/2\pi \ . \qquad (A-2)$$

If $T_R \, \underline{A}(t) = \underline{A}(-t)$ as it does for $\underline{E}(t)$

$$T_R \, \underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{A}(-t) \exp(-i\omega t) \, dt/2\pi = \underline{\mathcal{A}}^*(\omega) \ . \qquad (A-3)$$

If $T_R \, \underline{A}(t) = -\underline{A}(-t)$ as it does for $\underline{H}(t)$

$$T_R \, \underline{\mathcal{A}}(\omega) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{A}(-t) \exp(-i\omega t) \, dt/2\pi = -\underline{\mathcal{A}}^*(\omega) \ . \qquad (A-4)$$

Consider a real scalar quantity Φ

$$\Phi = 2 \, \text{Re} \left\{ X(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_c, \cdots)_{ijk\cdots} \, \mathcal{A}(\omega_a)_i \, \mathcal{B}(\omega_b)_j \, \mathcal{C}(\omega_c)_k \cdots \right\} \qquad (A-5)$$

where each of the quantities $\underline{A}$, $\underline{B}$, $\underline{C}$ is either a vector or a pseudovector.

Under time reversal A will transform like $T_R \, \mathcal{A}(\omega_a)_i = t_A \, \mathcal{A}^*(\omega_a)_i$ where t_A

is either $+1$ if A transforms like $\underset{\sim}{E}$ or -1 if A transforms like $\underline{H}$. Similar

transformations hold for $\underset{\sim}{B}$, $\underset{\sim}{C}$, etc. Then, under time reversal

$$T_R \, \Phi = \Phi = (-1)^n \, 2 \, \text{Re} \left\{ T_R \, X(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_c, \cdots)_{ijk\ldots} \right.$$

$$\left. \mathcal{A}^*(\omega_a)_i \, \mathcal{B}^*(\omega_b)_j \, \mathcal{C}^*(\omega_c)_k \cdots \right\} \qquad (A-6)$$

where n is the number of quantities $\underset{\sim}{A}$, $\underset{\sim}{B}$, $\underset{\sim}{C}$, etc., that transform like $\underline{H}$.

If Φ is to be a real scalar, X must transform as

$$T_R \, \underset{\sim}{X}(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_c, \cdots) = (-1)^n \, \underset{\sim}{X}^*(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_c, \cdots) \qquad (A-7)$$

where the tensor X has n indices that transform like $\underset{\sim}{H}$ on time reversal.

References

1. P. Franken, A. E. Hill, C. W. Peters, G. Weinreich, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 118 (1961).

2. M. Bass, P. A. Franken, A. E. Hill, C. W. Peters, G. Weinreich, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 18 (1962).

3. J. A. Giordmaine, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 19 (1962).

4. P. D. Maker, R. W. Terhune, M. Nisenoff, C. M. Savage, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 21 (1962).

5. R. W. Terhune, P. D. Maker, C. M. Savage, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 404 (1962).

6. M. Bass, P. A. Franken, J. F. Ward, G. Weinreich, Phys. Rev. Letters (to be published).

7. Th. Neugebauer, Acta. Phys. Acad. Sci. Hung. 10, 221 (1959).

8. D. A. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. 125, 87 (1962).

9. R. Braunstein, Phys. Rev. 125, 475 (1962).

10. W. C. Hennenberger, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 14 (1962).

11. J. A. Armstrong, N. Bloembergen, J. Ducuing, P. S. Pershan, Phys. Rev. 127, 1918 (1962).

12. N. Bloembergen, P. S. Pershan, Phys. Rev. 128, 606 (1962).

13. D. A. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. 126, 1977 (1962).

14. H. A. Lorentz, The Theory of Electrons, Leipzig, B. G. Teubner (1909).

15. J. H. Van Vleck, The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibility, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1932).

16. L. Rosenfeld, Theory of Electrons, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1951).

17. Mark W. Zemansky, Heat and Thermodynamics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, pp. 60-65 (1961).

18. E. U. Condon, Revs. Modern Phys. 9, 432 (1937).

19. American Institute of Physics Handbook, Editor, Dwight E. Gray, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1957).

20. Robert A. Satten, J. Chem. Phys. 26, 766 (1956).

21. L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, Pergamon Press, New York (1960).

22. R. W. Terhune, Proceedings of the Ohio State Symposium on Lasers and Applications, Columbus, Ohio, November 1962 (to be published).

23. J. Becquerel, W. J. de Haas, J. van den Handel, Physica 5, 753 (1938).

24. Francis A. Jenkins, Harvey E. White, Fundamentals of Optics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1960).

25. N. Bloembergen, P. S. Pershan, L. R. Wilcox, Phys. Rev. 120, 2014 (1960).

26. L. K. Anderson, Applied Physics Letters 1, 44 (1962).

Table I

The form of the tensor $\chi(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega)$ to be used

in Eqs. 4-12 and 4-13 for isotropic materials

$$\chi_{ijk\ell}(\omega,\ \omega,\ 2\omega)$$

ij\kℓ	xx	yy	zz	yz	zy	zx	xz	xy	yx
xx	$\chi_{1,1}$	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,2}$						
yy	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,1}$	$\chi_{1,2}$						
zz	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,1}$						
yz				$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$				
zy				$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$				
zx						$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$		
xz						$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$		
xy								$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$
yx								$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$

where $\chi_{6,6} = \frac{1}{2}\ [\chi_{1,1} - \chi_{1,2}]$

Table II

In the form of the tensor $\chi(\omega, \omega, 2\omega)$ to be
used in Eqs. 4-12 and 4-13 for calcite

ij\kl	xx	yy	zz	yz	zy	xz	zx	xy	yz
xx	$\chi_{1,1}$	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,3}$	$\chi_{1,4}$	$\chi_{1,4}$				
yy	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,1}$	$\chi_{1,3}$	$-\chi_{1,4}$	$-\chi_{1,4}$				
zz	$\chi_{3,1}$	$\chi_{3,1}$	$\chi_{3,3}$						
yz	$\chi_{4,1}$	$-\chi_{4,1}$		$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,4}$				
zy	$\chi_{4,1}$	$-\chi_{4,1}$		$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,4}$				
xz						$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,1}$	$\chi_{4,1}$
zx						$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,4}$	$\chi_{4,1}$	$\chi_{4,1}$
xy						$\chi_{1,4}$	$\chi_{1,4}$	$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$
yx						$\chi_{1,4}$	$\chi_{1,4}$	$\chi_{6,6}$	$\chi_{6,6}$

where $\chi_{6,6} = \frac{1}{2}[\chi_{1,1} - \chi_{1,2}]$

Table III

The form of the pseudotensor χ (ω) to be used in Eq. 5-1 to describe optical activity in quartz and $NaClO_3$. For $NaClO_3$ $X_{1,1} = X_{3,3}$. The symbol $|X_{1,1}|$ should be taken to mean the imaginary part of $X_{1,1}$ and is thus a real number than can be either positive or negative.

	x	y	z
x	$i\|X_{1,1}\|$		
y		$i\|X_{1,1}\|$	
z			$i\|X_{3,3}\|$

Table IV

The form of the pseudotensor $\underset{\sim}{\chi}(\omega_3, \omega_2, \omega_1)$ to be used in Eq. 5-3 for a cubic crystal that lacks time-reversal symmetry because of a dc magnetization in the (001) direction[*]

$$\chi_{ijk}(\omega_3; \omega_2, \omega_1)$$

ij/k	x	y	z
xx			$\lvert \chi_{1,3} \rvert$
yy			$\lvert \chi_{1,3} \rvert$
zz			$\lvert \chi_{3,3} \rvert$
yz	$i \lvert \chi_{4,1} \rvert$	$\lvert \chi_{4,2} \rvert$	
zy	$i \lvert \chi'_{4,1} \rvert$	$\lvert \chi'_{4,2} \rvert$	
zx	$\lvert \chi'_{4,2} \rvert$	$-i \lvert \chi'_{4,1} \rvert$	
xz	$\lvert \chi_{4,2} \rvert$	$-i \lvert \chi_{4,1} \rvert$	
xy			$i \lvert \chi_{6,3} \rvert$
yx			$-i \lvert \chi_{6,3} \rvert$

- - - - -

[*]Note that the $\lvert \chi_{i,j} \rvert$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\chi_{i,j}$ and can be either positive or negative.

Table V

The form of the pseudotensor that results from contracting the free energy of Eq. 5-11 on the index l. The superscripts 3 and 4 refer to terms that originate from the third rank tensor and fourth rank tensor, respectively[*]

ij/k	x	y	z
xx			$\| \chi^{(4)}_{1,2} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$
yy			$\| \chi^{(4)}_{1,2} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$
zz			$\| \chi^{(4)}_{1,1} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$
yz	$i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$	$\| \chi^{(4)}_{6,6} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$	
zy	$-i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$	$\| \chi^{(4)'}_{6,6} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$	
zx	$\| \chi^{(4)'}_{6,6} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$	$i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$	
xz	$\| \chi^{(4)}_{6,6} \| \mathcal{H}_z(0)$	$-i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$	
xy			$i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$
yx			$-i\,\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$

- - - - - -

[*]Note that $\| \chi^{(4)}_{i,j} \|$ and $\| \chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3} \|$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\chi^{(4)}_{i,j}$ and $\chi^{(3)}_{1,2,3}$ respectively and can be either positive or negative.

Activity Supply Officer
Building 2504, Charles Wood Area
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey (50)
Attn: Director of Research

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
Navy 100, Box 39 (25)
Fleet Post Office
New York, New York

Armed Services
Technical Information Agency
Arlington Hall Station (10)
Arlington 12, Virginia
Attn: TIPDR

The Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C. (6)
Attn: Technical Information Office

Commander, AF CRL
AFRD, ARDC, CRRLC
Laurence G. Hanscom Field (4)
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: Electronics Research Directorate

Commanding General
Air Research and Development Command
P. O. Box 1395 (3)
Baltimore 3, Maryland
Attn: RDTRRP

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Dr. A. Shostak, Code 427

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 427

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
495 Summer Street (2)
Boston, Massachusetts

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 810

Director, Air University
Library (2)
Maxwell Air Force Base
Alabama

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 421

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
495 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
John Crerar Library Building
86 East Randolph Street
Chicago 1, Illinois

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
346 Broadway
New York 13, New York

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, California

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
1000 Geary Street
San Francisco 9, California

Head, Document Section
Technical Information Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Martin A. Garstens
Magnetism Branch, Code 6450
Solid State Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Commanding Officer
U. S. N. Air Development Center
Johnsville, Pennsylvania
Attn: NADC Library

Commander
U. S. N. Air Development Center
Johnsville, Pennsylvania
Attn: AAEL

Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: El-1

Engineering Librarian
Convair
San Diego 12, California

Dr. John E. Pippin
Applied Physics and Ferrite Devices
Sperry Microwave Electronics Co.
P. O. Box 1828
Clearwater, Florida

Engineering Library
Sperry Microwave Electronics Co.
Clearwater, Florida

Dr. Lajos Rimai
Research Division
Raytheon Company
Waltham 54, Massachusetts

Elizabeth Weeks, Librarian
Raytheon Company
28 Seyon Street
Waltham 54, Massachusetts

Report Librarian
Sylvania Electric Products Inc.
Electronic Systems Division
100 First Avenue
Waltham, Massachusetts

Document Control Center
Wayland Library
Raytheon Manufacturing Co.
Wayland, Massachusetts

J. E. Goldman
Scientific Laboratory
Ford Motor Company
Engineering Staff
P. O. Box 2053
Dearborn, Michigan

Charles C. H. Tang
Bell Telephone Labs.
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Librarian
RCA Laboratories
Princeton, New Jersey

Dr. A. Amith
RCA
Princeton, New Jersey

Commander (2)
U. S. Naval Electronics Lab.
San Diego, California

Commanding General, RCRW
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base (2)
Rome, New York

Commanding General
Air Research and Development Command
P. O. Box 1395 (2)
Baltimore, Maryland
Attn: RDDDE

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Labs.
Laurence G. Hanscom Field (2)
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: CROTLS

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio (2)
Attn: WCLRA Library

National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division (2)
Fort George Meade, Maryland
Attn: Dr. Alvin Mackler

Associate Prof. A. Kaprielian
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Southern California
University Park
Los Angeles 7, California

Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Research and Development)
Research and Development Board
Department of Defense
Washington 25, D. C.

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-20

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-32

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-413

Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: El-4

Technical Library
U. S. Naval Proving Ground
Dahlgren, Virginia

Director
Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak, Maryland

Librarian
U. S. Naval Post Graduate School
Monterey, California

Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Air Research and Development Command
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: SRY, Physics Division

Commanding General
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base
Rome, New York
Attn: RCREC-4C

Commanding General
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base
Rome, New York
Attn: RCR

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Center
230 Albany Street
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Attn: CRRI

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Center
230 Albany Street
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Attn: CRRM

Commander
AF Cambridge Research Laboratories
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. Nollingsworth

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio   Attn: WCRR

Sandia Corporation
Org. 1424, Sandia Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attn: Dr. C. W. Harrison, Jr.

Sandia Corporation
Sandia Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attn: Library Division 1922-1

Mr. Robert Turner
General Electric Company
Advanced Electronics Center
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Library
Airborne Instruments Lab.
Walt Whitman Road
Melville, Long Island, New York

Secretary, Working Group
Semiconductor Devices
346 Broadway, 9th Floor
New York 13, New York
Attn: AGET

Metals Research Laboratories
Electro Metallurgical Company
Box 580, Niagara Falls, New York
Attn: Mr. R. J. Gladieux

Librarian
General Electric Research Lab.
P. O. Box 1088
Schenectady, New York

Westinghouse Electric Corp.
Research Laboratories
Beulah Road, Churchill Boro.
Pittsburgh 35, Pennsylvania

Prof. O. S. H. Rydbeck
P. O. Box 264
Belmar, New Jersey

Dr. Melvin V. Aarons
811 Shot Connecess Street
Fayetteville, New York

Laboratory
Airborne Instruments
Mineola, New York

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio
Attn: WCRRH

Commandant
Air Force Institute of Technology
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio
Attn: MCLI Library

AF Special Weapons Center
Kirtland Air Force Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attn: SWOI

Headquarters
AF Missile Test Center
MU-135, ADRC
Patrick Air Force Base
Florida

U. S. Coast Guard
1300 E Street, N. W.
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: EEE

M. A. Krinvanich, Chief
Systems Component Branch
Electronic Warfare Division
Signal Corps Agency
White Sands Proving Ground
New Mexico

Mr. A. D. Bedrosian
Signal Corps Liaison Office
Mass. Institute of Technology
Building 26, Room 131
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Chief, European Office
ARDC Command
Shell Building
60 Rue Ravenstein
Brussels, Belgium

Dr. J. Anton Hedman
Ordnance Materials Res. Office
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, Massachusetts

Acquisitions Officer
ASTIA Reference Center
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington 12, Virginia

Stanford Research Institute
Documents Center
Menlo Park, California
Attn: Mary Lou Fields

Dr. C. H. Papas
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Stanford Electronics Lab.
Stanford University
Stanford, California
Attn: Document Library
Applied Electronics Lab.

Department of Electrical Engineering
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

Librarian
Johns Hopkins University
1315 St. Paul Street
Baltimore 2, Maryland

Radiation Laboratory
Johns Hopkins University
1315 St. Paul Street
Baltimore 2, Maryland

Director, Lincoln Laboratory
Mass. Institute of Technology
Bedford, Massachusetts

Mr. John Hewitt
Document Room
Research Lab. of Electronics
Mass. Institute of Technology
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Professor A. Von Hippel
Mass. Institute of Technology
Lab. for Insulation Research
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Library, Room A 229
Lincoln Laboratory
P. O. Box 73
Lexington 73, Massachusetts

K. M. Siegel, Head
Theory and Analysis Department
Willow Run Laboratories
University of Michigan
Willow Run Airport
Ypsilanti, Michigan

Librarian
National Bureau of Standards Library
Room 301, Northwest Building
Washington 25, D. C.

Librarian
U. S. Department of Commerce
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado

Dr. Earl Callen
National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division
Fort George Meade, Maryland

Dr. H. Campaigne
National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division
Fort George Meade, Maryland

Chung Kuo University
Electrical Engineering Department
Tainan, Taiwan
Republic of China
Attn: Professor Chao-Hsi Chou
Head, Eng. Department

Mr. D. S. Jones
Department of Mathematics
Univ. College of No. Staffordshire
Keele, Staffordshire, England

Professor Paul Sanai Mito
Osaka City University
Dept. of Engineering Sciences
12 Nishi Ogimachi Kitaku
Osaka, Japan

Donald C. Stinson
Dept. of Electrical Engr.
University of Arizona
Tucson 25, Arizona

Professor Jerome R. Singer
Div. of Electrical Engineering
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

Professor Charles Kittel
Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

Serials Library
Brandeis University
Waltham, Massachusetts

Professor N. G. Beeber
School of Electrical Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Library, College of Engineering
University Heights Library
University Heights
New York University
New York 53, New York

S. A. Chapman, Librarian
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Amos Eaton Hall
Troy, New York

Robert Plonsey
Department of Engineering
Case Institute of Technology
University Circle
Cleveland 6, Ohio

Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Case Institute of Technology
University Circle
Cleveland 6, Ohio
Attn: S. Seely, Head

Dr. C. J. Falkenbach
Batelle Memorial Institute
Columbus, Ohio
Attn: Electrical Engineering Division

Librarian
Engineering Library
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island

Professor A. W. Straiton
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
University of Texas
Austin 12, Texas

Mr. William Way
Research Librarian
Tucker Instruments Corp.
1349 Cahuenga Boulevard
Hollywood 28, California

SOLID STATE ONLY

Martin A. Garstens, Head
Paramagnetic Section
Magnetism Branch
Solid State Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 6451

Dr. Reinier Beeuwkes, Jr.
Ordnance Materials
Research Laboratory
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, Massachusetts

Mr. A. Saito
Himeji Technical College
Himeji, Japan

Electronics Research Laboratory
Division of Electrical Engineering
University of California
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Librarian

Johns Hopkins University
34th and Charles Street
Whitehead Hall
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Attn: Mr. J. O. Artman

Librarian
Physics Department
Amherst College
Amherst, Massachusetts
Attn: Mr. Romer

Professor I. Lowe
Department of Physics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Professor R. B. Norberg
Department of Physics
Washington University
St. Louis, Missouri

Microwave Research Institute
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
55 Johnson Street
Brooklyn, New York
Attn: Librarian

Dr. Sidney Shapiro
Arthur D. Little, Inc.
15 Acorn Park
Cambridge 40, Massachusetts

Dr. Simon Foner
Lincoln Laboratories
Box 73
Lexington, Massachusetts

Mr. William N. Prom
Bruce Knight Corporation
286 A Street
Needham, Massachusetts

Dr. Edward U. Condon
6666 Waterman Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri

Dr. W. M. Walsh
Bell Telephone Labs., Inc.
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Librarian
IBM Watson Laboratories
612 West 115th Street
New York 27, New York

ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION ONLY

Michigan State College
Department of Mathematics
East Lansing, Michigan

Microwave Research Institute
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
55 Johnson Street
Brooklyn, New York

Mr. L. S. Swartz, Jr.
Building 12, Room 4417
Hughes Research Laboratories
Culver City, California

Professor O. S. H. Rydbeck
P. O. Box 264
Belmar, New Jersey

One Copy Unless Otherwise Specified